



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/701,305	11/04/2003	Katsufumi Ohmuro	1508-68672	5050		
7:	7590 06/28/2006			EXAMINER		
Patrick G. Burns, Esq. GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.			SAID, MANSOUR M			
Suite 2500	NS & CRAIN, LID.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
300 South Wac		2629				
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/701,30)5	OHMURO ET AL.				
		Examiner	,	Art Unit				
		MANSOU	R M. SAID	2629				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with	h the correspondence a	ddress			
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IN IT IS A STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IN IT IS A STATUTORY OF THE MAN IN IN IT IS A STATUTORY OF THE MAN IN IT IN IT IS A STATUTORY OF TH	AILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even unication. cutory period will apply and wi vill, by statute, cause the apply	HIS COMMUNIC, ent, however, may a rep ill expire SIX (6) MONTI lication to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 04 November 2	003					
2a)□								
3)□								
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restrictio	n and/or election req	luirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	•					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	objected to b	y the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to	the correction is require	ed if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. No	ote the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for \mathbb{Z} All b) Some * c) None of:	or foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § ⁻	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internation							
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certi	fied copies not re	eceived.				
Attachmen	• •							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)	4) Interview Sur Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Infori	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Proving New Province) (PTO-1449) and Province (PTO-1449) and PTO-1449) and PTO-1449 and PTO-			ormal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/701,305

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8 and 16-24, drawn to a liquid crystal display device constituted by enclosing liquid crystal between a pair of substrates, classified in class 345, subclass 92.
 - II. Claims 9-15, drawn to a manufacturing method for a liquid crystal display device, classified in class 445, subclass 24.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case group I is directed to LCD, which can be made by another/different process such as etching
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Furthermore, after election between I and II above and election species requirement is provided as follows:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species 1 figures 7-12

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/701,305

Art Unit: 2629

Species 2 figures 13-15.

Species 3 figures 16-17.

Species 4 figures 18-28.

Species 5 figures 29-30.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. There are not generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Application/Control Number: 10/701,305

Art Unit: 2629

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Page 4

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mansour M. Said whose telephone number is 571-272-7679. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30-6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A. Hjerpe whose telephone number is 571-272-7681.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application/Control Number: 10/701,305 Page 5

Art Unit: 2629

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

571-273-8300 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window at

the Randolph Building, 401, Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mansour M. Said

6/22/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600